

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/997,144	WATSON ET AL.
	Examiner Rina I Duda	Art Unit 2837

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rina I Duda. (3) _____.

(2) Steve Roeder. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-114.

Identification of prior art discussed: no.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney described to examiner Duda how the proposed amendment to the claims would overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and the objection under 37 CFR 1.75. Examiner Duda agreed with applicant's attorney that the amendment would overcome the rejections and objection presented in the final office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required